Contents

Preface	xvii
Conversion Factors, Non-SI to SI Units of Measurement	xix
1—Introduction	1-1
Background Purpose of Study Related Studies Study Procedure Scope of Report Units of Measurement.	1-5 1-5 1-6 1-7
References2—Entrance Engineering	
Project	2-1 2-3 2-4 2-5 2-7 2-8 2-9 2-11 2-16 2-18
3—Geomorphology	3-1
Introduction Setting Bathymetric Data Used in Analysis Comparison of Channel Alternatives by Fairways Location of historical channels relative to present alternatives Tabulated channel parameters Differences in channel longevity	3-1 3-3 3-5 3-6
Differences in channel depths and orientations	

	Geomorphic Cycles	. 3-14
	Cycle identification	
	Climate and geomorphic controls	
	Geomorphic Estimates of Shoaling Rates	
	Approaches	
	Approach I: Estimates based on spit-growth	
	Approach II: Estimates using annual bathymetry changes	
	Approach III: Lifetime dredging requirements	
	Discussion of Geomorphic Findings	
	References	
4—	-Field Data Collection and Analysis	4-1
	Wave Measurements	4-2
	Data reduction and quality control procedures for wave	–
	measurements	4-5
	Current Measurements	
	Moored current measurements	
	Data reduction and quality control procedures for moored	
	current meters	. 4-15
	General properties of the moored current meter data	
	ADCP transect cruises.	
	Data reduction for ADCP transect cruises	
	General properties of the ADCP transect data	
	Water Level and In Situ Conductivity and Temperature Measurements	
	Instruments and field procedures	
	Data reduction	
	Tide gauge water level	
	Survey to Toke Point datum	
	Gauge water level to Toke Point datum	
	Data trends	
	Profiling CTD Survey	
	Purpose of data collection	
	Instruments and field procedures	
	Data reduction	
	Data properties	
	Meteorology	
	Instruments and field procedures	
	Data trends	
	North Channel Borrow Site Monitoring	
	Survey methodology	
	Survey data processing and analysis	
5—	-Modeling and Analysis of Short Waves	
	Wave Climate	
	Wave Transformation Model	
	Wave Model Inputs	
	Bathymetry grid	
	Input wave spectra	
	Current fields	
	Tide and wind	5-11

Evaluation of Model with Field Data	5-11
Simulation of Design Alternatives	
Waves to evaluate navigation	5-13
Waves to evaluate sediment transport	5-21
Summary	5-21
References	5-23
6—Circulation and Transport Modeling	6-1
Introduction	
Physical Setting of Willapa Bay	
Tide	
Freshwater inflows	
Local wind	
Salinity	6-4
Previous Modeling Studies	
Circulation Model	6-7
Wave-driven currents	6-8
Verification of Wave Stress Forcing	6-9
Sediment Transport Model	6-15
Verification of Sediment Transport Method	6-17
Salinity Model	6-20
Computational Grid	6-21
Circulation Model Calibration	6-26
Circulation Model Verification	6-28
Comparison of water-surface elevation	6-28
Comparison of velocity	
Circulation patterns	
Evaluation of Design Alternatives by Analysis of Hydrodynamics	
and Sediment Movement	6-39
Velocities through alternative channels	
Deposition and erosion	
Salinity Change in Willapa Bay	
Summary of Circulation and Transport Modeling	
References	
7—Evaluation of Navigation Channel Alternatives	
Alternatives	
Criteria for Evaluation of Navigation Channel Alternatives	
The Evaluation	7-4
Appendix A—Historical Charts	A-1
Appendix B—Template Layouts and Recent Volume Changes	
Appendix C—Winter-Wave Time Histories	
Appendix D—Representative Wave Plots	
Appendix E—Wave Climate Intercomparisons	
Appendix E—wave Chinate Intercomparisons Appendix F—Comparison of Buoy and Pressure Gauge Measurements	
Appendix G—Bathymetry, Current Patterns, and Bathymetry Change i	
Design Alternatives	
Appendix H—Willapa Bay Dredged Material Disposal Sites Evaluation	
ADDOUGIA LI— W HIGDA DAY EJIEUYEU WATEHAL EJINDONALAHEN EWAHIAHOL	1

List of Figures

Figure 1-1.	Regional location map for Willapa Bay, Washington 1-2
Figure 1-2.	Willipa Bay and adjacent communities 1-4
Figure 1-3.	General locations and orientations of natural entrance channels to Willipa Bay
Figure 2-1.	Willipa River and Harbor navigation channels
Figure 2-2.	Channel depth allowances and wave steepness defined as wave height divided by wavelength
Figure 2-3.	Response amplitude operator versus wave period for 0-, 45-, and 90-deg wave angles approaching an 824-ft-long, 106-ft-beam, 42-ft-draft bulk carrier
Figure 2-4.	Escoffier diagram for Willapa Bay
Figure 2-5.	Channel and structure location for alternatives
Figure 2-6.	Potential dredged-material disposal sites and means of disposal
Figure 3-1.	Regional location map
Figure 3-2.	Soundings taken through Willapa Bay from July to October 1998
Figure 3-3.	Definitions of channel reach and tabulated geomorphic parameters
Figure 3-4.	One of the 64 historical charts forming the basis for the geomorphic evaluation
Figure 3-5.	Frequency of fully-opened channels
Figure 3-6.	Channel depths by fairways
Figure 3-7.	Channel orientation by fairways
Figure 3-8.	Temporal variations in bar depth
Figure 3-9.	Temporal changes in bar channel orientation
Figure 3-10.	Second half of one channel cycle
Figure 3-11.	Twenty-year sequence illustrating two channel-spit cycles 3-18
Figure 3-12.	Rates of North Cove shore recession and episodes of Cape Shoalwater spit dissection or breaching
Figure 3-13.	Timing of channel cycle with El Niño3-19
Figure 3-14.	Positions of north channel bar

Figure 3-15.	Area of spit above -20-ft-mllw elevation for three episodes for which the spit growth rate was calculated	.3-25
Figure 3-16.	Long-term channel shoaling rate estimated from spit growth rates	.3-26
Figure 3-17.	Differences between the 1998 and 1999 surveys	.3-27
Figure 3-18.	Two channel cross-sectional designs	.3-30
Figure 3-19.	Seven channel alternatives for which geomorphic-based estimates were made of shoaling rates	.3-31
Figure 3-20.	Shoaling and deepening in the shallower alternatives, 1998-1999	.3-32
Figure 3-21.	Shoaling in the deeper alternatives, 1998-1999	.3-33
Figure 3-22.	Additional areas in which shoaling statistics were calculated	.3-35
Figure 3-23.	Relative shoaling rates for the two template cross sections	.3-38
Figure 3-24.	Alternative 3B avoids tight curve and long north-south run typical of natural channels	.3-40
Figure 4-1.	Location of current meters and wave gauges, water-level gauges, and meteorological station in Willapa Bay	4-3
Figure 4-2.	Tripod mount as deployed in Willapa Bay	4-5
Figure 4-3.	Energy density plots for Station 1	4-9
Figure 4-4.	Energy density plots for Station 2	.4-10
Figure 4-5.	Significant wave height time series for Stations 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and Grays Harbor buoy	.4-12
Figure 4-6.	Comparison of Stations 1 and 2 wave heights and peak periods	.4-13
Figure 4-7.	Station 2 wave height, water depth, and current speed for 3 October 1998	.4-14
Figure 4-8.	ADCP transects in Willapa Bay, 17-18 November 1998	.4-19
Figure 4-9.	ADCP transects in southern Willapa Bay, 17 November 1998	.4-20
Figure 4-10.	ADCP transects near Center Channel, Willapa Bay, 7 November 1998	.4-21
Figure 4-11.	ADCP transects at entrance of Willapa Bay, 18 November 1998	.4-22
Figure 4-12.	Predicted tide for 3 weeks in November 1998	.4-23
Figure 4-13.	Water level for ADCP transect collection days	.4-23
Figure 4-14.	Example of tide gauge data, Station 9, Deployment 4	.4-28
Figure 4-15.	Temperature, density, and salinity profiles for stations in Willapa River during low- and high-slack tides (sta 1-3)	.4-31
Figure 4-16.	Temperature, density, and salinity profiles for stations in Willana River during low- and high-slack tides (sta 4 and 5)	.4-32

Figure 4-17.	Temperature, density, and salinity profiles for stations in central Willapa Bay during low- and high-slack tides
Figure 4-18.	Temperature, density, and salinity profiles for stations in Naselle River Channel during low- and high-slack tides 4-34
Figure 4-19.	Temperature, density, and salinity profiles for stations in Nahcotta Channel during low- and high-slack tides
Figure 4-20.	Temperature, density, and salinity profiles for stations in northern Willapa Bay during low- and high-slack tides (Stations 6-8) 4-36
Figure 4-21.	Temperature, density, and salinity profiles for stations in northern Willapa Bay during low- and high-slack tides (Stations 9-11) \dots 4-37
Figure 4-22.	Meteorological data from central Willapa Bay, August 1998 4-39
Figure 4-23.	Meteorological data from central Willapa Bay, September 1998
Figure 4-24.	Meteorological data from central Willapa Bay, October 1998
Figure 4-25.	Meteorological data from central Willapa Bay, November 1998
Figure 4-26.	Meteorological data from central Willapa Bay, December 1998
Figure 4-27.	North Channel Borrow Site, Willapa Bay
Figure 4-28.	Contour maps of North Channel Borrow Site (August and September 1998)
Figure 4-29.	Contour maps of North Channel Borrow Site (October and November 1998)
Figure 4-30.	Contour maps of North Channel Borrow Site elevation changes
Figure 4-31.	Cross sections 1, 2, 3, and 4 of North Channel Borrow Site 4-50
Figure 4-32.	Cross sections 5, 6, 7, and 8 of North Channel Borrow Site 4-51
Figure 4-33.	Cross sections 9 and 10 of North Channel Borrow Site 4-52
Figure 5-1.	Grays Harbor buoy wave rose
Figure 5-2.	STWAVE existing condition bathymetry grid 5-7
Figure 5-3.	Wave height with ebb current minus wave height with no current
Figure 5-4.	Wave height with flood current minus wave height with no current
Figure 5-5.	Wave height verification for 1-4 September 1998 5-14
Figure 5-6.	Wave direction verification for 1-4 September 1998 5-14
Figure 5-7.	Wave height verification for 15-20 October 1998 5-15

Figure 5-8.	Wave direction verification for 15-20 October 1998	.5-15
Figure 5-9.	Wave height verification for 11-16 November 1998	.5-16
Figure 5-10.	Wave direction verification for 11-16 November 1998	.5-16
Figure 5-11.	Wave height comparison for most frequent wave	.5-18
Figure 5-12.	Wave direction comparison for most frequent wave	.5-18
Figure 5-13.	Grays Harbor measurements for January 1998	.5-21
Figure 6-1.	Naselle River discharge for May through December 1998	6-5
Figure 6-2.	Willapa River discharge for May through December 1998	6-5
Figure 6-3.	Wave parameters calculated by STWAVE with input parameters of $H_s = 2.0$ m, $T = 11.5$ sec, and $\theta = -30$ -deg for a planar beach (IV:30H)	.6-10
Figure 6-4.	Comparison of STWAVE- and NMLONG-calculated wave parameters	.6-11
Figure 6-5.	Comparison of measured and calculated rms wave height for Leadbetter Beach, California, 4 February 1980	.6-13
Figure 6-6.	ADCIRC grid and bathymetry for Leadbetter Beach	.6-13
Figure 6-7.	Calculated and measured longshore current at Leadbetter Beach California, 4 February 1980	
Figure 6-8.	Calculated water-surface elevation verses time for Leadbetter Beach, California	.6-15
Figure 6-9.	Flowchart of hydrodynamic and sediment transport calculations with wave parameter input	.6-16
Figure 6-10.	Cross-shore variation of significant wave height calculated by STWAVE	.6-18
Figure 6-11.	Cross-shore variation of longshore current calculated by ADCIRC	.6-18
Figure 6-12.	Cross-shore variation of sediment transport and total transport compared to the CERC formula for three wave cases	.6-19
Figure 6-13.	Willapa Bay bathymetry	.6-22
Figure 6-14.	Willapa Bay entrance bathymetry	.6-22
Figure 6-15.	Regional view of grid for coast of Washington and Oregon	.6-23
Figure 6-16.	Grid detail for Willapa Bay	.6-23
Figure 6-17.	Grid detail in Willapa Bay entrance	.6-24
Figure 6-18.	Nodes in Willapa Bay entrance	.6-25
Figure 6-19.	Grid detail for Willapa Bay entrance with resolution for Alternatives 3H-a and 3H-b	.6-25
Figure 6-20.	Nodes in Willapa Bay entrance with resolution for Alternatives 3H-a and 3H-b	.6-26

Figure 6-21.	Nodes at SR-105 dike and groin	6-27
Figure 6-22.	Locations of stations for comparisons of water-surface elevation and current	6-27
Figure 6-23.	Time series of calculated and measured water level at Station 1	6-29
Figure 6-24.	Time series of calculated and measured water level at Station 2	6-29
Figure 6-25.	Time series of calculated and measured water level at Station 3	6-30
Figure 6-26.	Time series of calculated and measured water level at Station 4	6-30
Figure 6-27.	Time series of calculated and measured current at Station 1	6-33
Figure 6-28.	Time series of calculated and measured velocity at Station 2	6-33
Figure 6-29.	Time series of calculated and measured velocity at Station 3	6-34
Figure 6-30.	Time series of calculated and measured velocity at Station 4	6-34
Figure 6-31.	Willapa Bay circulation pattern on flood tide	6-36
Figure 6-32.	Willapa Bay circulation pattern on ebb tide	6-36
Figure 6-33.	Entrance of Willapa Bay circulation pattern on flood tide	6-37
Figure 6-34.	Entrance of Willapa Bay circulation pattern on ebb tide	6-37
Figure 6-35.	Entrance of Willapa Bay circulation pattern on flood tide during January 1998 storm	6-38
Figure 6-36.	Entrance of Willapa Bay circulation pattern on ebb tide during January 1998 storm	6-38
Figure 6-37.	Region of SR-105 structure circulation pattern on flood tide	6-40
Figure 6-38.	Region of SR-105 structure circulation pattern on ebb tide	6-40
Figure 6-39.	Regions of entrance defined for evaluation of current velocities in channels	6-41
Figure 6-40.	Stations for salinity change analysis	6-49
Figure 7-1.	Alternatives for evaluation	. 7-3
Figure 7-2.	Yearly shoaling estimates based on geomorphic analysis and numerical modeling	. 7-6
Figure E-1.	March 1995 wave heights for Long Beach slope array and Grays Harbor buoy	.E-3
Figure E-2.	March 1995 wave directions for Long Beach slope array and Grays Harbor buoy	.E-4
Figure E-3.	Scatter plot of Grays Harbor and Long Beach wave directions for March 1995	.E-5

Figure E-4.	Monthly average wave directions for Grays Harbor buoy	E-6
Figure E-5.	Monthly average wave directions for Long Beach slope array.	E-6
Figure E-6.	Monthly average wave directions for coincident Grays Harbor buoy and Columbia River bar buoy measurements	
Figure F-1.	Buoy and pressure gauge spectra for 8 June 1999 at 0:30 GMT	F-3
Figure F-2.	Buoy and pressure gauge spectra for 7 June 1999 at 12:30 GMT	F-3
Figure F-3.	Buoy and pressure gauge spectra for 7 June 1999 at 4:30 GMT	F-4
Figure F-4.	Correlation between buoy and pressure gauge	F-5
Figure F-5.	Comparison of buoy and pressure gauge wave heights	F-7
Figure F-6.	Comparison of buoy and pressure gauge wave periods	F-7
Figure G-1.	Bathymetry for Alternative 1	G-7
Figure G-2.	Bathymetry for Alternative 3A	G-7
Figure G-3.	Bathymetry for Alternative 3B	G-7
Figure G-4.	Bathymetry for Alternative 3F	G-8
Figure G-5.	Bathymetry for Alternative 3G	G-8
Figure G-6.	Bathymetry for Alternative 3H	G-8
Figure G-7.	Bathymetry for Alternative 4A	G-9
Figure G-8.	Bathymetry for Alternative 4E	G-9
Figure G-9.	Current patterns in Alternative 1 channel, January 1998 storm	G- 10
Figure G-10	Current patterns in Alternative 3A channel, January 1998 storm	G-13
Figure G-11	Current patterns in Alternative 3B channel, January 1998 storm	G-16
Figure G-12.	Current patterns in Alternative 3F channel, January 1998 storm	G-18
Figure G-13	Current patterns in Alternative 3G channel, January 1998 storm	G-21
Figure G-14	. Current patterns in Alternative 3H-a channel, January 1998 storm	G-23
Figure G-15	Current patterns in Alternative 3H-b channel, January 1998 storm	G-25
Figure G-16	. Current patterns in Alternative 4A channel, January 1998 storm	G-27

Figure G-17.	Current patterns in Alternative 4E channel, January 1998 storm
Figure G-18.	Current patterns in Alternative 1 channel, September 1998 fair weather
Figure G-19.	Current patterns in Alternative 3A channel, September 1998 fair weather
Figure G-20.	Current patterns in Alternative 3B channel, September 1998 fair weather
Figure G-21.	Current patterns in Alternative 3F channel, September 1998 fair weather
Figure G-22.	Current patterns in Alternative 3G channel, September 1998 fair weather
Figure G-23.	Current patterns in Alternative 3H-a channel, September 1998 fair weather
Figure G-24.	Current patterns in Alternative 3H-b channel, September 1998 fair weather
Figure G-25.	Current patterns in Alternative 4A channel, September 1998 fair weather
Figure G-26.	Current patterns in Alternative 4E channel, September 1998 fair weather
Figure G-27.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 1 channel, January 1998 storm
Figure G-28.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 3A channel, January 1998 storm
Figure G-29.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 3B channel, January 1998 storm
Figure G-30.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 3F channel, January 1998 storm
Figure G-31.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 3G channel, January 1998 storm
Figure G-32.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 3H-a channel, January 1998 storm
Figure G-33.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 3H-b channel, January 1998 stormG-57
Figure G-34.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 4A channel, January 1998 storm
Figure G-35.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 4E channel, January 1998 storm
Figure G-36.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 1 channel, September 1998 fair weather

Figure G-37.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 3A channel, September 1998 fair weather
Figure G-38.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 3B channel, September 1998 fair weather
Figure G-39.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 3F channel, September 1998 fair weatherG-63
Figure G-40.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 3G channel, September 1998 fair weather
Figure G-41.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 3H-a channel, September 1998 fair weatherG-65
Figure G-42.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 3H-b channel, September 1998 fair weatherG-66
Figure G-43.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 4A channel, September 1998 fair weather
Figure G-44.	Calculated deposition and erosion in Alternative 4E channel, September 1998 fair weatherG-68
Figure H-1.	Historical bar channel dredging assignmentsH-7
Figure H-2.	Willapa Bay navigation project, historical Cape Shoalwater disposal sites near USCG Buoy 10 and Buoy 13H-25
Figure H-3.	Willapa Bay navigation project, environmentally sensitive areas
Figure H-4.	Willapa Bay navigation project disposal site alternativesH-27

List of Tables

Table 2-1.	Willapa Harbor-Bar Channel O&M Hopper Dredging	2-3
Table 2-2.	Definition Of Design Alternatives, Willapa Bay Navigation Channel Reliability Study	2-13
Table 2-3.	Initial Dredging Volumes Assuming a Static 1998 Bathymetry	2-15
Table 2-4.	Dredging Site/Disposal Site Combination Alternatives	2-19
Table 3-1.	Geomorphic Parameters Measured in Each Outlet and Each Channel Reach of Each Fairway on Each Chart of the Willapa Bay Entrance	3-6
Table 3-2.	Timing of Post-1922 El Niños and Restoration of New North Channel Outlets	3-20

Table 3-3.	1998 to 1999 Bathymetric Changes Within Templates 3-2	9
Table 3-4.	Historical Volume Differences Within Templates in Data-Dense Areas	6
Table 3-5.	Summary of Initial Dredging and Volume Changes in Templates, 1998-1999	8
Table 3-6.	Basis for Mixture of Low- and High-Volume Dredging Requirements for Templates 3B and 3G	1
Table 3-7.	Lifetime Dredging Estimates	2
Table 4-1.	Willapa Bay Data-Collection Schedule	4
Table 4-2.	Tripod Deployment and Retrieval Locations	6
Table 4-3.	Willapa Bay Equipment Log4-	7
Table 4-4.	ADV Data Averages 10-9-98 to 11-18-98 4-1	1
Table 4-5.	Statistics for Current Records	7
Table 4-6.	ADCP Configuration Parameters 4-1	8
Table 4-7.	Discharge Values for South Willapa Bay 4-2	4
Table 4-8.	Discharge Values for North Willapa Bay 4-2	4
Table 4-9.	Water Level Station Locations and Measured Parameters 4-2	5
Table 4-10.	Profiling Conductivity Temperature Depth Survey Information 4-2	9
Table 4-11.	Meteorological Data Summary	8
Table 4-12.	Average Change in Depth Along Cross Sections, ft 4-5	3
Table 4-13.	Total Volume Changes (cu yd)4-5	3
Table 5-1.	Grays Harbor Wave Distribution by Height, Period, and Direction	.3
Table 5-2.	Mean and Maximum Wave Parameters Measured at Grays Harbor Buoy	4
Table 5-3.	Values of <i>nn</i> Defining Direction Distribution in Verification Spectra	8
Table 5-4.	Values of γ and nn Defining Representative Spectra 5-	8
Table 5-5.	Sensitivity Analysis of Wave Transformation to Current 5-	9
Table 5-6.	Verification Statistics	3
Table 5-7.	Representative Waves for Navigation Evaluation Simulations 5-1	7
Table 5-8.	Comparison of Wave Height and Direction for Channel Alternatives	9
Table 6-1.	Tidal Constituent Amplitudes, m, at Toke Point, South Bend, and Nahcotta	.3
Table 6-2.	Tidal Datums, m, for Willapa Tide Gauges6-	4

Table 6-3.	Radiation Stress Values Calculated Analytically from STWAVE Calculated Wave Parameters, and by NMLONG	
Table 6-4.	Wave Parameters for Idealized Sediment Transport Cases	.6-17
Table 6-5.	Ratio of Sediment Transport Rates Calculated by ADTRANS an the CERC Formula	
Table 6-6.	Error in Calculated Water Level	.6-31
Table 6-7.	Error in Calculated Velocity Components	.6-35
Table 6-8.	Maximum and Minimum Velocity in Alternative Channels for Storm	
Table 6-9.	Maximum and Minimum Along-Channel Velocity in Alternative Channels for Fair Weather at Peak Ebb and Flood Tidal Current	.6-42
Table 6-10.	Maximum and Minimum Across-Channel Velocity in Alternative Channels for Fair Weather at Peak Ebb and Flood Tidal Current	.6-43
Table 6-11.	Number of Regions in Alternative Channels with Crosscurrents in Fair Weather at Peak Ebb and Flood Tide	.6-45
Table 6-12.	Deposition Volume Calculated for Alternative Channels	.6-46
Table 6-13.	Shoaling in Alternative Channels for January 1998 Storm	.6-47
Table 6-14.	Grouping of Alternatives Based on Navigation and Shoaling Factors	.6-51
Table 6-15.	Properties of Most Favorable Alternative Channels Based on Crosscurrent and Shoaling Calculations	.6-52
Table 7-1.	Design Alternative Evaluation, Willapa Bay Navigation Channel Reliability Study, Operation and Maintenance Factors	
Table 7-2.	Design Alternative Evaluation, Willapa Bay Navigation Channel Reliability Study, Navigability Safety Factors	
Table 7-3.	Design Alternative Evaluation, Willapa Bay Navigation Channel Reliability Study, Beneficial Use of Dredged Material and Erosion Potential	
Table E-1.	Wave Direction Distributions	E-2
Table E-2.	Percent Data Coverage by Month	E-2
Table F-1.	Gauge Deployment Times and Locations	F-2
Table F-2.	Error Statistics for Buoy and Pressure Gauge Comparisons	F-8
Table G-1.	Maximum and Minimum Velocity in Regions of Alternative 1 for Fair Weather at Peak Ebb and Flood Tidal Current	G-2
Table G-2.	Maximum and Minimum Velocity in Regions of Alternative 3A for Fair Weather at Peak Ebb and Flood Tidal Current	G-3
Table G-3.	Maximum and Minimum Velocity in Regions of Alternative 3B for Fair Weather at Peak Ebb and Flood Tidal Current	G-3

Table G-4.	Maximum and Minimum Velocity in Regions of Alternative 3F for Fair Weather at Peak Ebb and Flood Tidal Current	
Table G-5.	Maximum and Minimum Velocity in Regions of Alternative 3G for Fair Weather at Peak Ebb and Flood Tidal Current	
Table G-6.	Maximum and Minimum Velocity in Regions of Alternative 3H-a for Fair Weather at Peak Ebb and Flood Tidal Current	
Table G-7.	Maximum and Minimum Velocity in Regions of Alternative 3H-b for Fair Weather at Peak Ebb and Flood Tidal Current	
Table G-8.	Maximum and Minimum Velocity in Regions of Alternative 4A for Fair Weather at Peak Ebb and Flood Tidal Current	
Table G-9.	Maximum and Minimum Velocity in Regions of Alternative 4E for Fair Weather at Peak Ebb and Flood Tidal CurrentG-6	
Table H-1.	Chronology of Federal Dredging, Willapa Harbor, WashingtonH-2	
Table H-2.	Development of Alternatives	
Table H-3.	Dredging Site/Disposal Site Combination AlternativesH-23	

Preface

This report describes a navigation channel reliability monitoring and evaluation study conducted at Willapa Bay, Washington, by the U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC), Coastal and Hydraulics Laboratory (CHL), Vicksburg, MS, for the U.S. Army Engineer District, Seattle (NWS). The study was established under a Partnering Agreement between the NWS and the Willapa Port Commission to investigate the feasibility of maintaining a reliable navigation channel through the entrance to Willapa Bay. Funding authority was provided by NWS to CHL on 6 April 1998, and a review draft of this report was submitted to NWS on 21 December 1998. Mr. Hiram T. Arden was the NWS point of contact for this study, with technical assistance and review of this report provided by Messrs. Arden, Eric E. Nelson, and Robert M. Parry, NWS.

The ERDC study team was under the technical direction of Dr. Nicholas C. Kraus, Coastal Sediments and Engineering Division (CSED), CHL, and task-area leaders were Dr. Adele Militello, Navigation and Harbors Division (NHD), CHL, for Long Waves, Sedimentation and Salinity; Dr. Jane M. Smith, Coastal Processes Branch (CPB), CSED, for Short Waves; Mr. William C. Seabergh, Harbors and Entrances Branch (HEB), NHD, for Engineering; Mr. Edward B. Hands, Coastal Evaluation and Design Branch (CEDB), CSED, for Morphology, Mr. Michael W. Tubman, Prototype Measurement and Analysis Branch (PMAB), CSED, for Field Data Collection Contract Management and Data Management; and Mr. Larry E. Parson, CEDB, CSED, for SHOALS Surveying.

Significant technical assistance in conducting the study was provided by Dr. S. Rao Vemulakonda, Estuaries, Bays and Lagoons Group, Tidal Hydraulics Branch (THB), Estuaries and Hydroscience Division (EHD), CHL, and Dr. Joon P. Rhee, PMAB, CSED. Additional technical support at CHL was provided by Ms. Mary Claire Allison, Dr. Andrew Morang, and Mr. Michael Martinez, CEDB, CSED, Mr. Fulton Carson, CPB, CSED, and Ms. Leonette J. Thomas, HEB, NHD. Secretarial and clerical support was provided by Ms. Janie G. Daughtry and Ms. J. Holley Messing, CEDB, CSED, and Ms. Myra E. Willis, HEB.

Assistance at NWS was provided by Mses. Elizabeth W. Bachtel and Joyce E. Rolstad at the NWS archive; Messrs. Denny S. Mahar and Lonnie M. Reid in surveying and cartographic control; and Mr. Thomas G. Landreth, captain of the survey vessel *Shoalhunter*. Field studies were conducted under contract with Evans-Hamilton, Inc. (EHI), led by Mr. Keith Kurrus, EHI. Portions of the field study analysis were subcontracted by EHI to Pacific

International Engineering PLLC (PIE). Mr. Harry Hosey and Dr. Vladimir Shepsis were the study leaders for PIE.

This study was conducted during the period April 1999 through June 1999 under the general supervision of Dr. James R. Houston, Director of CHL, respectively: Mr. Thomas W. Richardson, Chief of CSED; Mr. William H. McAnally, Chief of EHD; Mr. Bruce A. Ebersole, Chief of CPB; Ms. Joan Pope, Chief of CEDB; Mr. Robert T. McAdory, Chief of THB; Mr. Willam L. Preslan, Chief of PMAB; and Mr. Dennis G. Markle, Chief of HEB.

This study benefited from discussions and coordination meetings involving representatives from or staff members of the following organizations: Messrs. Gerald J. Heintz and James Neva, Port of Willapa; Mr. Randy Lewis, City of West Port; Mr. Jeff Cox, EHI; and Messrs. Hosey, Hugo Bermudez, Scott Fenical, David Simpson, and Nels Sultan, and Dr. Shepsis, PIE.

Contributors to this report are identified on the first page of each chapter. Dr. Kraus was the report technical editor. Mr. Markle was also assistant to Dr. Kraus and served as administrative point of contact for this study.

At the time of publication of this report, Dr. Lewis E. Link was Acting Director of ERDC, and COL Robin R. Cababa, EN, was Commander.

Conversion Factors Non-SI to SI Units of Measurement

Non-SI units of measurement used in this report can be converted to SI units as follows:

Multiply	Ву	To Obtain
acres	4,046.873	square meters
cubic feet	0.028317	cubic meters
cubic yards	0.7645549	cubic meters
feet	0.3048	meters
miles (U.S. nautical)	1.852	kilometers
miles (U.S. statute)	1.609347	kilometers
tons (long, 2,240 lb mass)	1,016.047	kilograms